

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10                  AT TACOMA  
11

12                  CLARENCE D. JOHNSON, JR.,  
13                  Plaintiff,  
14                  v.  
15                  Defendants.

CASE NO. 21-5101 RJB TLF

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION

16                  THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of U.S.  
17                  Magistrate Judge Theresa L. Fricke. Dkt. 6. The Court has considered the pleadings filed  
18                  regarding the Report and Recommendation and the remaining file.

19                  On February 8, 2021, the Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*  
20                  ("IFP") (Dkt. 1, refiled at Dkt. 3) and a proposed civil complaint (Dkt. 1-1). As noted in the  
21                  Report and Recommendation, the Plaintiff's hand written proposed complaint is difficult to  
22                  follow.

1           **Review of the Complaint.** The Plaintiff used a form complaint. Dkt. 1-1. The  
2 “background” section appears to be a series of notes, some in columns, and, for example,  
3 provides “United States Postal Service,” “DOT crimes,” “645 6,7 year old cases,” “lawyer  
4 needed,” “United Parcel Service,” “RICO Acts fugitive of law Biden Trump Obama  
5 Administration Queen Elizabeth United Nations,” and includes various addresses. The claim  
6 one, “due process,” section entitled “Supporting Facts,” provides, in its entirely, “Default of  
7 Judgment cases History Biden Trump Obama Administration Fed Chairman.” Dkt. 1-1, at 3. In  
8 another section of the proposed complaint, labeled “claim two,” although the Plaintiff does not  
9 identify a “claim two,” the fact section states, “you need to follow my guidelines foreign policy  
10 U.N. 212-963-4475 US. conflict of interest,” and “Alayne Frankson Wallace Secretary Judicial.”  
11 Dkt. 1-1, at 3. As relief, the Plaintiff seeks “prisoner + property seizure.” *Id.*, at 4.

12           **Report and Recommendation.** The Report and Recommendation reviews the proposed  
13 complaint and identifies various deficiencies, noting that the proposed complaint fails to state a  
14 claim for relief. Dkt. 6. It notes that the proposed complaint is frivolous as it has no basis in law  
15 or fact. *Id.* The Report and Recommendation also recommends denying the application for IFP  
16 as a result of the proposed complaint's deficiencies. *Id.* It notifies the Plaintiff that he has the  
17 option to object to the Report and Recommendation. *Id.* The Report and Recommendation  
18 recommends that if the Plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee, the case should be dismissed without  
19 prejudice. *Id.*

20 The Plaintiff did not object to the Report and Recommendation or pay the filing fee.

## DISCUSSION

22       ***Sua Sponte* Dismissal.** A federal court may dismiss a case *sua sponte* pursuant to Fed.  
23 R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) when it is clear that the plaintiff has not stated a claim upon which relief may

1 be granted. *See Omar v. Sea-Land Serv., Inc.*, 813 F.2d 986, 991 (9th Cir.1987) ("A trial court  
2 may dismiss a claim *sua sponte* under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Such a dismissal may be made  
3 without notice where the claimant cannot possibly win relief."). *See also Mallard v. United  
4 States Dist. Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 307-08 (1989) (there is little doubt a federal court would have  
5 the power to dismiss frivolous complaint *sua sponte*, even in absence of an express statutory  
6 provision). A complaint is frivolous when it has no arguable basis in law or fact. *Franklin v.  
7 Murphy*, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984). Unless it is absolutely clear that no amendment  
8 can cure the defect, a *pro se* litigant is entitled to notice of the complaint's deficiencies and an  
9 opportunity to amend prior to dismissal of the action. *See Lucas v. Dep't of Corr.*, 66 F.3d 245,  
10 248 (9th Cir.1995).

11 The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 6) properly points out that the proposed  
12 complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and that it is frivolous because it  
13 has no basis in fact or law. The Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 6) should be adopted and the  
14 Plaintiff's application for IFP (Dkts. 1-1 and 3) should be denied. Further, it is clear that no  
15 amendment can cure the proposed complaint's deficiencies. Accordingly, the case should be  
16 dismissed without prejudice. All pending motions should be denied as moot.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and  
19 to any party appearing *pro se* at said party's last known address.

20 | Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2021.

*Robert A. Bryan*

ROBERT J. BRYAN  
United States District Judge